

Neural Networks

Héctor Corrada Bravo

University of Maryland, College Park, USA CMSC 643: 2017-10-31





Neural networks are a decades old area of study.

Initially, these computational models were created with the goal of mimicking the processing of neuronal networks.



Inspiration: model neuron as processing unit.

Some of the mathematical functions historically used in neural network models arise from biologically plausible activation functions.



Somewhat limited success in modeling neuronal processing

Neural network models gained traction as general Machine Learning models.



Strong results about the ability of these models to approximate arbitrary functions

Became the subject of intense study in ML.

In practice, effective training of these models was both technically and computationally difficult.



Starting from 2005, technical advances have led to a resurgence of interest in neural networks, specifically in Deep Neural Networks.



Advances in computational processing:

• powerful parallel processing given by Graphical Processing Units



Advances in computational processing:

• powerful parallel processing given by Graphical Processing Units

Advances in neural network architecture design and network optimization

Advances in computational processing:

• powerful parallel processing given by Graphical Processing Units

Advances in neural network architecture design and network optimization

Researchers apply Deep Neural Networks successfully in a number of applications.



Self driving cars make use of Deep Learning models for sensor processing.



Image recognition software uses Deep Learning to identify individuals within photos.



Deep Learning models have been applied to medical imaging to yield expert-level prognosis.



An automated Go player, making heavy use of Deep Learning, is capable of beating the best human Go players in the world.



Neural Networks and Deep Learning

In this unit we study neural networks and recent advances in Deep Learning.

To motivate our discussion of Deep Neural Networks, let's turn to simple but very powerful class of models.

As per the usual regression setting, suppose

- given predictors (attributes) $\{X_1, \ldots, X_p\}$ for an observation
- we want to predict a continuous outcome Y.

The Projection-Pursuit Regression (PPR) model predicts outcome Y using function f(X) as

$$f(X) = \sum_{i=1}^M g_m(\mathbf{w}_m'X)$$

where:

- \mathbf{w}_m is a p-dimensional weight vector
- so, $\mathbf{w}' X = \sum_{j=1}^{p} w_{mj} x_j$ is a linear combination of predictors x_j
- and g_m , m = 1, ..., M are univariate non-linear functions (a smoothing spline for example)

Our prediction function is a linear function (with *M* terms).

Each term $g_m(\mathbf{w}_m X)$ is the result of applying a non-linear function to, what we can think of as, a derived feature (or derived predictor) $V_m = \mathbf{w}'_m X$.



167

$$f(X) = \sum_{i=1}^M g_m(\mathbf{w}_m'X)$$
 .

In PPR we are reducing the dimensionality of x from p to M using linear projections,

And building a regression function over the representation with reduced dimension.



Let's revist the data from our previous unit and see how the PPR model performs.

This is a time series dataset of mortgage affordability as calculated and distributed by Zillow: https://www.zillow.com/research/data/.

The dataset contains affordability measurements for 76 counties with data from 1979 to 2017. Here we plot the time series of affordability for all counties.

We will try to predict affordability at the last time-point given in the dataset based on the time series up to one year previous to the last time point.







So, how can we fit the PPR model?

As we have done previously in other regression settings, we start with a loss function to minimize

$$L(g,W) = \sum_{i=1}^N \left[y_i - \sum_{m=1}^M g_m(\mathbf{w}_m' x_i)
ight]^2$$

Use an optimization method to minimize the error of the model.

For simplicity let's consider a model with M = 1 and drop the subscript m.

Consider the following procedure

- Initialize weight vector w to some value wold
- Construct derived variable $v = \mathbf{w}_{old}$
- Use a non-linear regression method to fit function g based on model E[Y|V] = g(v). You can use additive splines or loess

• Given function g now update weight vector \mathbf{w}_{old} using a gradient descent method

$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{w} &= & \mathbf{w}_{old} + 2\gamma\sum_{i=1}^N(y_i - g(v_i))g'(v_i)x_i \ &= & \mathbf{w}_{old} + 2\gamma\sum_{i=1}^N r_ix_i \end{aligned}$$

where γ is a learning rate.

$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{w} = & \mathbf{w}_{old} + 2\gamma\sum_{i=1}^N(y_i - g(v_i))g'(v_i)x_i \ & = & \mathbf{w}_{old} + 2\gamma\sum_{i=1}^Nr_ix_i \end{aligned}$$

In the second line we rewrite the gradient in terms of the residual r_i of the current model $g(v_i)$ (using the derived feature v) weighted by, what we could think of, as the sensitivity of the model to changes in derived feature v_i .



Given an updated weight vector w we can then fit g again and continue iterating until a stop condition is reached.

Let's consider the PPR and this fitting technique a bit more in detail with a few observations

We can think of the PPR model as composing three functions:

- the linear projection $\mathbf{w}'x$,
- the result of non-linear function g and, in the case when M > 1,
- the linear combination of the g_m functions.

To tie this to the formulation usually described in the neural network literature we make one slight change to our understanding of derived feature.

Consider the case M > 1, the final predictor is a linear combination $\sum_{i=1}^M g_m(v_m)$.

We could also think of each term $g_m(v_m)$ as providing a non-linear dimensionality reduction to a single derived feature.

This interpretation is closer to that used in the neural network literature, at each stage of the composition we apply a non-linear transform to the data of the type $g(\mathbf{w}'x)$.



The fitting procedure propagates errors (residuals) down this function composition in a stage-wise manner.

29

We can now write the general formulation for a feed-forward neural network.

We will present the formulation for a general case where we are modeling *K* outcomes Y_1, \ldots, Y_k as $f_1(X), \ldots, f_K(X)$.

In multi-class classification, categorical outcome may take multiple values

We consider Y_k as a discriminant function for class k,

Final classification is made using $\arg \max_k Y_k$. For regression, we can take K = 1 .

A single layer feed-forward neural network is defined as

$$egin{aligned} h_m &= g_h(\mathbf{w}_{1m}'X), \,\, m=1,\ldots,M \ f_k &= g_{fk}(\mathbf{w}_{2k}'\mathbf{h}), \,\, k=1,\ldots,K \end{aligned}$$



The network is organized into input, hidden and output layers.





Units h_m represent a hidden layer, which we can interpret as a derived non-linear representation of the input data as we saw before.





Function g_h is an activation function used to introduce non-linearity to the representation.


Historically, the sigmoid activation function was commonly used $g_h(v) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-v}}$ or the hyperbolic tangent.



36 / 82

Nowadays, a rectified linear unit (ReLU) $g_h(v) = \max\{0, v\}$ is used more frequently in practice. (there are many extensions)



37 / 82



Function *g_f* used in the output layer depends on the outcome modeled.

For classification a soft-max function can be used $g_{fk}(t_k) = \frac{e^{t_k}}{\sum_{l=1}^{K} e^{t_k}}$ where

 $t_k = \mathbf{w}_{2k}' \mathbf{h}$.

For regression, we may take g_{fk} to be the identify function.



The single-layer feed-forward neural network has the same parameterization as the PPR model,

Activation functions g_h are much simpler, as opposed to, e.g., smoothing splines as used in PPR.



A classic result of the Neural Network literature is the universal function representational ability of the single-layer feed-forward neural network with ReLU activation functions (Leshno et al. 1993).



A classic result of the Neural Network literature is the universal function representational ability of the single-layer feed-forward neural network with ReLU activation functions (Leshno et al. 1993).

However, the number of units in the hidden layer may be exponentially large to approximate arbitrary functions.

Empirically, a single-layer feed-forward neural network has similar performance to kernel-based methods like SVMs.

This is not usually the case once more than a single-layer is used in a neural network.



In modern neural network literature, the graphical representation of neural nets we saw above has been extended to computational graphs.



In modern neural network literature, the graphical representation of neural nets we saw above has been extended to computational graphs.

Especially useful to guide the design of general-use programming libraries for the specification of neural nets.



In modern neural network literature, the graphical representation of neural nets we saw above has been extended to computational graphs.

Especially useful to guide the design of general-use programming libraries for the specification of neural nets.

They have the advantage of explicitly representing all operations used in a neural network which then permits easier specification of gradientbased algorithms.



46 / 82

Gradient-based methods based on stochastic gradient descent are most frequently used to fit the parameters of neural networks.

Gradient-based methods based on stochastic gradient descent are most frequently used to fit the parameters of neural networks.

These methods require that gradients are computed based on model error.



Gradient-based methods based on stochastic gradient descent are most frequently used to fit the parameters of neural networks.

These methods require that gradients are computed based on model error.

The layer-wise propagation of error is at the core of these gradient computations.



Gradient-based methods based on stochastic gradient descent are most frequently used to fit the parameters of neural networks.

These methods require that gradients are computed based on model error.

The layer-wise propagation of error is at the core of these gradient computations.

This is called back-propagation.



Assume we have a current estimate of model parameters, and we are processing one observation x (in practice a small batch of observations is used).





First, to perform back propation we must compute the error of the model on observation *x* given the current set of parameters.

To do this we compute all activation functions along the computation graph from the bottom up.



Once we have computed output \hat{y} , we can compute error (or, generally, COSt) $J(y, \hat{y})$.

Once we do this we can walk back through the computation graph to obtain gradients of cost *J* with respect to any of the model parameters applying the chain rule.



We will continously update a gradient vector ∇ .

First, we set $\nabla \leftarrow \nabla_{\hat{y}} J$

54 / 82



Next, we need the gradient $\nabla_t J$

We apply the chain rule to obtain

 $abla_t J =
abla \odot f'(t)$

- f' is the derivative of the softmax function
- \odot is element-wise multiplication.
 - Set $\nabla \leftarrow \nabla_t J$.

55



Next, we want to compute $\nabla_{W_k} J$.

We can do so using the gradient we just computed ∇ since $\nabla_{W_k} J = \nabla_t J \nabla_{W_k} t$.

In this case, we get $\nabla_{W_k} J = \nabla \mathbf{h}'$.

56



At this point we have computed gradients for the weight matrix W_k from the hidden layer to the output layer, which we can use to update those parameters as part of stochastic gradient descent.



Once we have computed gradients for weights connecting the hidden and output layers, we can compute gradients for weights connecting the input and hidden layers.





We require ∇_{hJ} , we we can compute as $W'_k \nabla$ since ∇ currently has value $abla_t J$.

At this point we can set $\nabla \leftarrow \nabla_{\mathbf{h}} J$.





Finally, we set $\nabla \leftarrow \nabla_z J = \nabla \cdot g'(z)$ where g' is the derivative of the ReLU activation function.

This gives us $\nabla_{W_h} J = \nabla \mathbf{x'}$.

6



At this point we have propagated the gradient of cost function *J* to all parameters of the model

We can thus update the model for the next step of stochastic gradient descent.

Practical Issues

Stochastic gradient descent (SGD) based on back-propagation algorithm as shown above introduces some complications.

62 82

Scaling

The scale of inputs x effectively determines the scale of weight matrices W

Scale can have a large effect on how well SGD behaves.

In practice, all inputs are usually standardized to have zero mean and unit variance before application of SGD.

Initialization

With properly scaled inputs, initialization of weights can be done in a somewhat reasonable manner

Randomly choose initial weights in [-.7, .7].

64

As with other highly-flexible models we have seen previously, feedforward neural nets are prone to overfit data.

65 / 82

As with other highly-flexible models we have seen previously, feedforward neural nets are prone to overfit data.

We can incorporate penalty terms to control model complexity to some degree.

66

The most commonly used method for this is to apply a ridge penalty term in the cost function

 $J(\hat{y},y) = L(\hat{y},y) + \lambda \|W\|^2$

where L is an application appropriate loss-function.

67

The most commonly used method for this is to apply a ridge penalty term in the cost function

 $J(\hat{y},y) = L(\hat{y},y) + \lambda \|W\|^2$

where L is an application appropriate loss-function.

Our discussion of back-propagation above would incorporate the gradient of this penalty term as appropriate.

Architecture Design

A significant issue in the application of feed-forward neural networks is that we need to choose the number of units in the hidden layer.



Architecture Design

A significant issue in the application of feed-forward neural networks is that we need to choose the number of units in the hidden layer.

We saw above that a wide enough hidden layer is capable of perfectly fitting data.



Architecture Design

A significant issue in the application of feed-forward neural networks is that we need to choose the number of units in the hidden layer.

We saw above that a wide enough hidden layer is capable of perfectly fitting data.

We will also see later that in many cases making the neural network deeper instead of wider performs better.
Architecture Design

A significant issue in the application of feed-forward neural networks is that we need to choose the number of units in the hidden layer.

We saw above that a wide enough hidden layer is capable of perfectly fitting data.

We will also see later that in many cases making the neural network deeper instead of wider performs better.

In this case, models may have significantly fewer parameters, but tend to be much harder to fit.

Architecture Design

Ideal network architectures are task dependent

Require much experimentation

Judicious use of cross-validation methods to measure expected prediction error to guide architecture choice.

As opposed to most other learning methods we have seen so far, the feed-forward neural network yields a non-convex optimization problem.



As opposed to most other learning methods we have seen so far, the feed-forward neural network yields a non-convex optimization problem.

This will lead to the problem of multiple local minima in which methods like SGD can suffer.



As opposed to most other learning methods we have seen so far, the feed-forward neural network yields a non-convex optimization problem.

This will lead to the problem of multiple local minima in which methods like SGD can suffer.

We will see later in detail a variety of approaches used to address this problem.

As opposed to most other learning methods we have seen so far, the feed-forward neural network yields a non-convex optimization problem.

This will lead to the problem of multiple local minima in which methods like SGD can suffer.

We will see later in detail a variety of approaches used to address this problem.

Here, we present a few rule of thumbs to follow.

The local minima a method like SGD may yield depend on the initial parameter values chosen.

78 / 82

The local minima a method like SGD may yield depend on the initial parameter values chosen.

One idea is to train multiple models using different initial values and make predictions using the model that gives best expected prediction error.



The local minima a method like SGD may yield depend on the initial parameter values chosen.

One idea is to train multiple models using different initial values and make predictions using the model that gives best expected prediction error.

A related idea is to average the predictions of this multiple models.

The local minima a method like SGD may yield depend on the initial parameter values chosen.

One idea is to train multiple models using different initial values and make predictions using the model that gives best expected prediction error.

A related idea is to average the predictions of this multiple models.

Finally, we can use bagging as described in a previous session to create an ensemble of neural networks to circumvent the local minima problem.

Summary

- Neural networks are representationally powerful prediction models.
- They can be difficult to optimize properly due to the non-convexity of the resulting optimization problem.
- Deciding on network architecture is a significant challenge. We'll see later that recent proposals use deep, but thinner networks effectively. Even in this case, choice of model depth is difficult.
- There is tremendous excitment over recent excellent performance of deep neural networks in many applications.